APPENDIX H: SURVEY RESPONSES FROM COMMUNITY DROP-IN SESSION HELD AT CLUB OLD BAR, THURSDAY 21 NOVEMBER 2013

	I-	I=
1	Comment	Position
	Seems like a detailed and comprehensive design. Concerns around how long the project wouldtake and	Concorn and questions but neither conception new survey
,	costs (+/- \$9M for 450m). Rocks don't promote accretion, so the beach would recede and expose the rocks.	Concern and questions but neither opposition nor support for seawall
2		
2	Cost to ratepayers? What is the impact on beaches both north and south of the proposed wall? How	Concern and questions but neither opposition nor support
3	long can one keep back the ocean?	for seawall
	How many people will benefit from this project? How long between stages? Will we still have a beach to walk along or will we rock hop? Do we change our name from Old Bar Beach to Old Bar Rocks? What is	
	the plan for traffic control during construction? What condition will our roads be in on completion and	Concern and questions but neither opposition nor support
4	also during?	for seawall
- 4	It certainly is a difficult problem. I have just moved from Wingham to Old Bar. It seems that aseawall of	ioi seawaii
	rock is the only fe??ville, economic solution. Access to the beach, or what will be left, will be a problem.	
	It may be better to let nature take its course and for Council (or State) to buy affected properties as the	
	land is eroded and those properties are threatened. I am 81 years of age so it will probably not affect	Concern and guestions but neither opposition nor support
5	me but I am concerned for future generations.	for seawall
	hard to tell if wall would be successful. A lot of money speny on a maybe situation. Benefits of the	Concern and guestions but neither opposition nor support
6	residents whose homes are at risk more than the general community.	for seawall
	,	
	I found the whole thing enlightening but not convinced this will work. Worried about the damage to our	
	roads brining in the rocks for the seawall. Also I was told that there is a new estate behind Lewis Street	
	being proposed, which means the flooding water will also be a problem. If we put the wall up we will	Concern and questions but neither opposition nor support
7	loose our beach front. That means we will lose our tourism and then we will lose Old Bar.	for seawall
	Thank you for the opportunity to view the various proposals for saving the properties in Old Bar. I fully	
	appreciate the necessity for this however it does seem a tragedy that our beaches will be lost in the	
	process. Could the reef proposal somehow save the problem? Also feel it is unfair that the proposals	
	were only on display from 2-5pm as this would preclude many people from viewing them. An evening	Concern and questions but neither opposition nor support
8	viewing would help people who are at work during the day.	for seawall
9	None	Neither opposition nor support
	No rock wall. The beach and the surf will be gone. Many residents live here because of the beach/surf	
	culture. Please don't take the surf away. ASR Limited claim they can save the beach and protect the	
	beach and waves. Bring them back to work on offshore reefs. GTCC needs ot focus on their best asset	
	(the beach) to get themsselves out of debt. Gold COast Council's prioritise income based on their	
	beaches/surf Gtcc needs ot do this. The seawall will destroy the beach & surf culture! ASR Limited web	
10	blog commentary attached.	Opposed
	Apart from the fact that I don't believe a seawall is the solution for Old Bar. I am appalled at the	
	enormity of what I have seen here today. It is a real eyesore and the cost is so huge I can't imagine	
	where the cost is coming from. It will be the end for tourism in Old Bar as people won't be able to	
	access the beach to enjoy its attractions (who wants to walk along a seawall). We need to keep our	
	beach for future generations. I am a firm believer that reefs will be our best protection for the beach	
11	and the homes. Reefs would only cost about 1/3 of the cost of seawalls and have less maintenance.	Opposed
	,	- Physical Company of the Company of
	As proved time and time again coastal protection works such as rockwalls (seawalls) that are built t	
	oguard against erosion harden the coast and greatly reduce its ability to adjust naturally, therefore	
	exacerbating further erosion problems - seawalls reflecting and concetrating wave energy and erosion.	
12	The beach is for all people - with this seawall, there will be no beach for the people.	Opposed
	The design consultants here today confirmed that there will be no beach after construction of the wall. I	.,
	therefore oppose construction of the wall. There are other alternatives to a wall for coastal protection.	
	These alternatives should be further investigated. I am concerned that this is the only opportunity for	
	community consultation before Council adopts this proposal and the legislation is changed for a seawall	
13	only future in NSW.	Opposed
	I think we nee dot consider the effect a wall will have on the whole community of OB. Oldie is based	
	around the beach and that culture. If you remove the beach how will this effect OB in the sense of	
14	community. Attractiveness, economy, land values.	Opposed
15	Wall = loss of beach. Result no tourism no beach	Opposed
	I am not in favour of a rock wall. If we lost the beach there would be no reason for anyone to come to	
16	Old Bar Beach.	Opposed
]		
	As a resident of Old Bar Beach and particulalrly living on Old Bar Road I would strongly object to the	
	rocks along this road. Who will repair the road? Ratepayers? I also like walking on the beach and can	
	appreicate somehting is required to be done. But I don't think this is the answer as it does not cover the	
	whole beach just a small area where some of the permission to build was not given by Council but by	
17	the land and environment court. What about our school and surf club?	Opposed
	I don't want to have a seawall on Old Bar Beach. I signed a petition to protect the beach and this	
	proposal does nothing but destroy the beach. It is dissappointing that there was limited opportunity for	
	residents other than those that stood to benefit to understand what is being proposed. Anyone that	
	works and was unable to get time off could not voice their opinion. I have spoken personally to many	
	people that could not attend today that are against a seawall. There are also a lot of people that	
	assumed that they could let Council know within the next few days what their opinion was but we have	
	been told that the three hours this exhibition lasts for is all the time we have to make comment. How in	
	heavens name did we get to this? Three thousand five hundred people signed a petition to save Olf Bar	
18	Beach wiht offshore reefs not lose the beach to a rock wall in order to protect assets only.	Opposed
	I have huge concerns over the proposed rock wall for Old Bar - particularly the stage 3 proposed wall in	
	front of the school stretching to the surf club. I have many concerns which this brief piece of paper	
	could not accurately represent. In the proposed form I could not support the rock wall & believe it	
19	would have a large number of detrimental effects on the Old Bar community.	Opposed

	The group of local residents (OBBSRG) have been fortunate enough to be introduced to an	
	environmentally and ecologically friendly method of beach protection and sand replenishment - rock	
	walls create too many problems in 'end wall' erosion and beach sediving(?). The beach needs prtoection -	
	Let Greater Taree City COuncil take advantage of this study for 2 offshore reefs and show the rest of	
20	NSW that they are an environmentally friendly Council.	Reef support
	1. Off shore reef proposal is the way to go. Rock walls we do nothing for Old Bar Beach except keep	
	people away. Too dangerous & not nice to look at with all sand on the beach missing. We want the sand	
	to come back not go away as a result of a seawall. Maybe a separate research into larger offshore reefs	
21	should be done. Council's option for a sealwall is not in Old Bar's interest. Try harder.	Reef support
	Sea wall is ugly for the visual environment. The seawall is only for the impacted residents of the	
	Meridian who purchased property with high potential of erosion effects. Why should the rate payers of	
	G Taree pay for their poor decision. The is 'no' conclusive evidence presented that the artificial reef will	
22	not work. When I asked the Council representative his response was Quote "It just won't work".	Reef support
	Although I can understand the concept of the wall and that it is quite likely to safeguard properties. I	
	have no doubt that it will be a complete eyesore. Gary Blumberg said: if we have a wall, we will have no	
	beach. Having the walkway for pedestrians/cyclists will create its own issues with security and safety -	
	plus cause properties in lower Lewis Street to lose views because of the proposed height. If there is no	
	beach there is no Old Bar. Surely off shore reefs at lesser cost is worth a try. If it leaves the beach it may	
23	well save our properties.	Reef support
	I feel very worried at the possibility of the rockwall going ahead. Our family of 5 (3 young children) just	
	bought in Smith Street. Our whole reason for choosing to invest and grow our family in Old Bar was for	
	the beach. At the same cost as a reef (which would improve surfing, tourism, lifestyle) what we would	
	lose as a community whole because of a rockwall for outweighs the risk of athe reef. Please consdier	
24	the reef.	Reef support
	I am opposed to the construction of a rockwall at Old Bar Beach. In the short term, we will save a strip	
	of properties along the coastline, but in the long term a whole community could be ruined. The whole	
	reason Old Bar exists is our beautiful surf and surfing culture. What sacrifice a whole town to save a	
25	handful of properties. Please consider the offshore reef option more carefully and try to save our	Dorf www.rt
25	beloved community.	Reef support
	TI 0T00 0T115 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Thank you GTCC and OEH for your work. You have committed to the Old Bar Beach erosion situation and	
	problems. My personal views on the proposal is very negative. 1. Reasons being - the loss of amenity	
	and beach to Old Bar which we all enjoy. 2. Seawalls and other such structures have been of no benefot	
	to anywhere on NSW coast including the top beaches in Sydney. Collaroy, Narrabeen, Cronulla the list	
	goes on. Why are we not sourcing information and data like other parts of the world. New technology	
26	with offshore reefs are being used more and more. Why is it we cannot pursue this example.	Reef support
	1 thoule you for the apportunity to look at managele and have discussion 2 222 M/by only a 2 hour	
	1. thank you for the opportunity to look at proposals and have discussion. 2. ??? Why only a 3 hour	
	opportunity for the people of Old Bar to avail themselves of this. The hours 2-5pm certainly inadequate	
	& should have bee nextended at least until 8pm this evening. I understand Government requirements	
	embrace a 12 week period only - but 3 hours!!! 3. In accepting that something must be done to protect	
	existing properties I recognise the anguish of losing beach - However there will be compensation in that people will be able to walk a seawall plus the benefit of not losing anymore houses. 4. I would love to	
	, ,	
27	see an offshore reef built. 5. Special thanks to Richard Pamplin GTCC who did a stirling job under difficult circumstance.	Reef support
27	The presentation I believe requires a scaled model/s to better understand the merits of the proposal.	Reel support
	Several of the photos (Dee Why Beach & Terrigal Beach) whilst displaying construction & methods are	
	not relevant to Old Bar Beach, given aspects to prevailing sea conditions, headland etc. Should the	
	options prevail they should be built in conjunction to an offshore reef. Looking forwrad to the public	
28	display of options in the near future.	Reef support
40	anapital of options in the near future.	meer support
	The concept of a rock wall is good. As far as the area is concerned the beach does need to be kept in	
	some form or the area will suffer from people not coming into the area either for holidays or to live.	
29	Council needs to listen to the people rather than do what benefits the councillors.	Support
29	Council needs to listen to the people rather than do what benefits the councillors.	σαρροι τ
	It is heartening to see that Taree Council are looking at taking some action to protect our homes and	
	school finally. This has taken too long, in the meantime the beach is disappearing too rapidly. At this late	
	stage we can't be too picky in how we protect our beach but a reef offshore would be my ideal solution.	
30	I like the idea of a walkway, this is long overdue and could be expanded to Mudbishops.	Support
30	It is imperative that a rock wall as above be installed urgently as it is obvious houses in the stated area	oupport .
31	will be demolished.	Support
31	Access, visual impact, environmental impact etc must all be of secondary consideration to protection of	-apport
	existing property, this is vital and urgent. A start or at least a commitment to begin work at the earliest	
	possible time is essential to regain and maintain property viability. This would enable Council to rescind	
32	its planned retreat response to coastal management.	Support
33	Yes this is a great idea, full steam ahead.	Support
- 33	To protect the houses from erosion I feel it should work. Access to the shoreline (because it appears	erer
	there will be no sand) will need to be considered for people of all abilities. I'm not sure of the amenity of	
	the wall but the structure and design should and could control erosion. I don't like it but erosion is an	
34	ongoing problem.	Support
	The proposal of a rock wall construction is much better than alternatives, which are all	**************************************
	disadvantageous 2. The limiting of beach access (ultimately) along the Lewis Street and Pacific Parade	
	sections is not a hinderance to me and certainly may resolve many of the issues for threatened local	
	areas. 3. The construction of Stage 3 may become unnecessary or delayed for a long time. I am much in	
1		
1	favour of the building of a rock wall as proposed for Stage 1 Option 1a and Stage 2 and ultimately Stage	
35	favour of the building of a rock wall as proposed for Stage 1 Option 1a and Stage 2 and ultimately Stage 3.	Support
35	3.	Support
35	3. The sooner the project starts the better. Stop talking and make some real progress. With the amount of	Support
35 36	3.	Support Support

37	I am concerned with the possible end wall effect at the northern end of the Stage 2 construction at Racecourse Creek. I would like to see the wall continue with a pipe. I would like to see a reef built in conjunction with the wall to retain the sand. It is sad that the beach will be lost. If the footpath ran the entire length of the wall I feel it would be used more. There were no access points noted i nthe designs. It would need to be part of the planning as access to the water is vital.	Support
	In agreeance with construction of the wall as portection of assets is critical to all property holders. The aesthetics of the wall will definitely change the look and feel of Old Bar beach area but will be insignificant really. The design has allowed for access but users wiht mobility issues should be	
	consdiered. Funding for stages 1 & 2 should be classified as urgent. Beach replenishment after	
	construction should be wihtin maintenance budget. The concrete bridge at the southern end of David	
	Street should be removed and reconstructed wiht consdieration give nto waterflow wiht Stages 1 & 2	
	installations. Racecourse Creek has become choked with debris and vegetation and impacts of flooding results. Construction of an offshore reef should be implemented as Stage 4 and could be an important	
38		Support
	We believe the revetment wall proposal is the best option. We have always been of this belief since	
	Worley Parsons first proposed originally proposed their version of it. The changed access is not a	
	problem issue whe ndevelopment and people's futures are secured. People will still have access to the	
	beach via the new walkways and the current road, which is what we use now. I have seen revetment	
	walls around the world and they are in no way offensive. They can provide increased accessibility for the	
39	elderly, disabled and prams.	Support
	Surprised that you have chosen rocks that are not pleasant to look at compared to the blocks that the	
	South African (?) fellow put forward. Provides protection but looks horrible. I am not in opposition just	
40		Support
41	Something has to be done asap. Please build the rock wall. Thank you Need to look at Race Course Creek drainage. Need disabled access onto the beach at Badger Lookout	Support
	until sand has gone. Need of put reefs in to slow water down and groynes to catch sand and then the	
	seawall. Or rebuy all properties to be affected. What will happen to the bridg to Hall Street as the water	
42	, , ,	Supports all measures
42	Tide Live Constructions should be considered. 1. For price. 2. Quicker construction. 3. Can be	Supports an incasures
43	constructed onsite. 4. 1/2 cost of ugly rocks etc.	Wall but not rock
<u> </u>	1	1